

FEB 25 2013

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT

IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT

Nos. 12-90108 and 12-90109

ORDER

KOZINSKI, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant alleges that two district judges improperly dismissed two of his cases before defendants answered his complaints. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judges' rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982).

Complainant further claims the district judges displayed bias against him and conspired with defendants. Adverse rulings are not proof of bias or conspiracy, and “complainant hasn’t provided any objectively verifiable proof (for example, names of witnesses, recorded documents or transcripts) to support these allegations.” In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598, 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009). These charges must therefore be dismissed as unsupported. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.